The original article to which this erratum refers was published in 2011;100:1618–1627.

In the original version of this article, there is an error in part (d) of the abstract. That error has been corrected below. We regret any confusion this may have caused.

Part (d) of the abstract conclusion should read: “and (d) if the test product contains sorbitol, sodium laurilsulfate, or propylene glycol, the test product needs to be qualitatively and quantitatively identical to its comparator with respect to these excipients.”